

Tables

1.1	Typical questions that a GIS can be used to answer	<i>page 6</i>
2.1	Welfare change measures obtained from expressed preference measures	18
2.2	WTP for preservation of the Norfolk Broads using various elicitation methods	27
2.3	Payment vehicle analysis results	28
2.4	ZTC/ITC consumer surplus estimates for six UK forests	32
3.1	Forest users' per person per visit recreation values from ZTC studies	45
3.2	Forest users' per person per visit recreation values from CV studies	46
3.3	Woodland recreation values from a cross-study analysis of CV estimates	51
3.4	Summary WTP responses for the Thetford 1 CV study	53
3.5	Thetford 1 TC study: consumer surplus estimates for three functional forms	56
3.6	Summary WTP results: per annum (WTPpa) and per visit (WTPfee) formats	58
3.7	Stepwise regression of lnWTPpa on significant predictors	60
3.8	Farm characteristics and farmers' willingness to accept compensation for transferring from present output to woodland	62
3.9	Mean WTP (tax) per annum and 95 per cent confidence intervals for each subsample (including payment principle refusals as zeros)	68
3.10	Mean WTP (fee) per visit and 95 per cent confidence intervals for each subsample (including payment principle refusals as zeros)	69
3.11	Average road speed estimates	77
3.12	Sensitivity analysis: ML models (best-fitting model shown in <i>italics</i>)	82
3.13	Sensitivity analysis: OLS models (best-fitting model shown in <i>italics</i>)	84
3.14	Sensitivity analysis: effects of varying outset origin on TC benefit estimates	87
3.15	Valuing recreational visits to woodland: a synthesis of studies	88
4.1	Observed and predicted visitor rates	94
4.2	Official recreational visit numbers, predictions of arrivals and consumer surplus estimates for twenty-seven English woodlands	106

5.1	Forestry Commission holdings: Great Britain 1978–2000 ('000 ha)	116
5.2	High forest by general species: Forestry Commission and private woodland in Great Britain 1947–2000 ('000 ha)	121
5.3	Woodland Grant Scheme payments (£/ha)	125
5.4	Woodland Management Grants	125
5.5	Payments under the Farm Woodland Premium Scheme (£/ha per annum)	127
5.6	Optimal felling age for various discount rates: Sitka spruce, YC6–24	134
5.7	Optimal felling age for various discount rates: beech, YC4–10	136
5.8	Agricultural nominal rate of return (RoR) on tenants' capital: Wales 1987/88–1991/92	140
5.9	Farmers' private timber values for high-output Sitka spruce and beech across various discount rates (annualised equivalents of a perpetual series of optimal rotations)	150
6.1	Variables obtained from the SCDB	164
6.2	Variables obtained from LandIS	166
6.3	Comparing actual with predicted YC for Sitka spruce (cell contents are counts)	171
6.4	Comparing actual with predicted YC for beech (cell contents are counts)	173
6.5	Predicted Sitka spruce YC under three scenarios	176
6.6	Predicted beech YC under two scenarios	177
6.7	NPV sums for perpetually replanted Sitka spruce timber across various discount rates	181
6.8	Annuity values for perpetually replanted Sitka spruce timber across various discount rates	182
6.9	NPV sums for perpetually replanted beech timber across various discount rates	182
6.10	Annuity values for perpetually replanted beech timber across various discount rates	183
7.1	The social costs of CO ₂ emissions (\$/tC): comparison across studies	188
7.2	Softwood and hardwood end uses for UK domestic production 1991/92	193
7.3	Post-afforestation changes in equilibrium soil carbon storage levels for various soils previously under grass (tC/ha): upland and lowland sites	196
7.4	Date of first thinning (TD1) for Sitka spruce yield models ($r = 0.05$ throughout)	201
7.5	Thinning factor for Sitka spruce ($TF_{SS,t}$): YC12	202
7.6	Date of first thinning (TD1) for beech yield models ($r = 0.05$ throughout)	204
7.7	NPV of net carbon flux (sequestration in live wood and liberation from products and waste) for an optimal rotation of Sitka spruce: various yield classes and discount rates (£, 1990)	210
7.8	NPV of net carbon flux (sequestration in live wood and liberation from products and waste) for an optimal rotation of beech: various yield classes and discount rates (£, 1990)	212

7.9	NPV of carbon in live wood, waste and products from an optimal rotation of Sitka spruce and beech: linear predictive equations with yield class as the single explanatory variable: various discount rates	212
7.10	NPV of Sitka spruce and beech carbon flux for live wood, waste and products: various discount rates (r)	215
7.11	NPV perpetuity sums for soil carbon flux: all tree species (£/ha)	216
7.12	Number of 1 km land cells at differing levels of NPV for net carbon flux (live wood, waste, products and soils): Sitka spruce, various discount rates (r)	216
8.1	Change in Welsh agriculture 1990 to 2000	226
8.2	FBSW annual farm account data: example of a typical farm record	232
8.3	Agroclimatic variables obtained from LandIS	234
8.4	Farm cluster characteristics: average income and mean percentage of total revenue from specified activities in each cluster of farms	236
8.5	Best-fitting stage 1 models of farm surplus/ha on sheep (cluster 1) and milk (cluster 2) farms	240
8.6	Best-fitting stage 2 models for sheep farms	242
8.7	Best-fitting stage 2 models for milk farms	244
8.8	Predicted farm surplus values for sheep and milk farms	247
8.9	Predicted farm-gate income and shadow values for sheep and milk farms	248
9.1	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining sheep farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to conifer (Sitka spruce) woodland: 6% discount rate	254
9.2	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining milk farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to conifer (Sitka spruce) woodland: 6% discount rate	260
9.3	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining sheep farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to broadleaf (beech) woodland: 6% discount rate	264
9.4	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining milk farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to broadleaf (beech) woodland: 6% discount rate	268
9.5	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining sheep farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to conifer (Sitka spruce) woodland: 3% discount rate	270
9.6	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining milk farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to conifer (Sitka spruce) woodland: 3% discount rate	274
9.7	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining sheep farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to broadleaf (beech) woodland: 3% discount rate	276
9.8	Distribution of the net benefits of retaining milk farming in Wales as opposed to conversion to broadleaf (beech) woodland: 3% discount rate	278